

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA**

Case no: 2026/099011

In the matter between:

JULIUS SELLO MALEMA, MP

Applicant

and

NGIZWE MCHUNU

First Respondent

MINISTER OF POLICE

Second Respondent

**NATIONAL COMMISSIONER OF THE
SOUTH AFRICAN POLICE SERVICE**

Third Respondent

NOTICE OF MOTION

TAKE NOTICE THAT the Applicant intends to apply to the above Honourable Court on **WEDNESDAY 17 JUNE 2026** at 10h00 or so soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, for an order in the following terms:

1. That, non-compliance with the form, service and time periods provided for in the Uniform Rules of Court be condoned and directing that this matter be heard as one of urgency in terms of Uniform Rule 6(12).

2. It is declared that Mr Ngizwe Mchunu is guilty of contempt of court in that, in disobedience of this Court's order issued on 5 June 2026 under case

number 2026/099011, on 6 June 2026, he intentionally and unlawfully continued to make defamatory statements about the Applicant and intentionally and unlawfully refused to respect the Court Order.

3. Mr Ngizwe Mchunu is sentenced to imprisonment for a period of six (6) months without the option of a fine.
4. A warrant of arrest is issued against Mr Mchunu for his arrest and detention in prison for a period of six (6) months.
5. Mr Mchunu is ordered to present himself within 12 hours of receipt of this Order to the nearest police station and present this Order to the Station Commander.
6. Absent Mr Ngizwe Mchunu's submission as ordered in paragraph 5 above, the Second and Third Respondents are ordered to take all such steps as may be required to give effect to Mr Mchunu's immediate arrest.
7. Mr Ngizwe Mchunu is directed to pay the costs of this application on the attorney and client scale, including the costs of two counsel.
8. Further and/or alternative relief.

TAKE NOTICE FURTHER that the accompanying affidavit of **JULIUS SELLO MALEMA** and annexures thereto shall be used in support of this application.

TAKE NOTICE THAT the Applicant has appointed **ENGLAND SLABBERT ATTORNEYS INC.** at the address mentioned below at which he will accept notice of all process in these proceedings.

TAKE NOTICE FURTHER that if **Mr Ngizwe Mchunu** intends opposing this application, he is required to:

- a. notify the Applicant's attorney in writing of his intention to do so on or before **Monday 8 June 2026 at 2 pm** and, in such notice, appoint an address referred to in Uniform Rule 6(5)(d)(i) at which the Respondent will accept notice and service of all documents in these proceedings; and
- b. file his answering affidavit, if any, on or before **Wednesday 10 June 2026 at 5 pm.**

TAKE NOTICE FURTHER that:

- a. the Applicant will file his replying affidavit, if any, on or before **Thursday 11 June 2026 at 12pm.**

TAKE NOTICE FURTHER THAT if **Mr Ngizwe Mchunu** does not notify the Applicant's attorney of his notice of intention to oppose the application as set out above, and/or does not file their answering affidavit as set out above, and/or does not appear whether in person or by representation at the hearing on the date and at the time aforesaid, an order may be made against the Respondent in his absence.

KINDLY PLACE THE MATTER ON THE ROLL ACCORDINGLY.

DATED AND SIGNED at SANDTON on this the 8TH day of JUNE 2026.



ENGLAND SLABBERT ATTORNEYS INC.

Applicant's Attorneys

Tel: 021 0075828 / 066 477 8755

Block B, Grayston Ridge Office Park

144 Katherine Street

Atholl, Sandton

Email: angelike@englandslabbert.co.za

Ref: ECO1/0057

C/O LAUTENBERG MORRIS ATTORNEYS

69 Douglas Street

Colbyn, Pretoria

Tel: 012 430 4492

Email: gmorris@lmattorneys.co.za

TO: THE REGISTRAR OF ABOVE HONOURABLE COURT
Pretoria

AND TO: ZUMA AND PARTNERS INCORPORATED
First Respondent's Attorneys
Office no 6 Innesdale
101 Innes Road
Morningside
Durban
Tel: 031 309 1607
Email: xolani@zumaandpartners.co.za / zumazpi@icloud.com /
admin@zumaandpartners.co.za

AND TO: STATE ATTORNEY PRETORIA
ATTORNEY for the MINISTER OF POLICE AND NATIONAL
COMMISSIONER OF POLICE (Second and Third Respondents)
SALU Building
316 Thabo Sehume Street
Pretoria 0002

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA**

Case no: 2026/099011

In the matter between:

JULIUS SELLO MALEMA, MP

Applicant

and

NGIZWE MCHUNU

First Respondent

MINISTER OF POLICE

Second Respondent

NATIONAL COMMISSIONER OF THE

Third Respondent

SOUTH AFRICAN POLICE SERVICE

FOUNDING AFFIDAVIT

Contents

THE PARTIES.....	2
STRUCTURE OF THIS AFFIDAVIT.....	3
THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION.....	4
THE CONTEMPT OF COURT ORDER OF 5 JUNE 2026	7
URGENCY	10
THE RELEVANT FACTS	12
CONTEMPT OF COURT	18
APPROPRIATE RELIEF	20



I, the undersigned

JULIUS SELLO MALEMA

do hereby make oath and state that:

1. I am an adult male and the Commander-in-Chief of the Economic Freedom Fighters ("EFF"). I am also a Member of Parliament, serving in the National Assembly. The EFF is a registered political party, having its national office situated at Winnie Madikizela Mandela House, 119 Marshall Street, Marshalltown, Johannesburg.
2. Save where otherwise stated, the facts deposed to in this affidavit are within my personal knowledge and are, to the best of my belief, both true and correct.
3. Where I make legal submissions, I do so on the basis of legal advice given to me by my legal representatives.

THE PARTIES

4. I am the applicant in this matter.
5. The first respondent is Mr Ngizwe Mchunu an adult male, who is a well-known public figure and is a radio personality, and whose full and further particulars are unknown to me. Mr Mchunu is legally represented by Zuma and Partners Incorporated, a law firm based at Office no.6 Innesdale, 101 Innes Road,

²
JS
MM

Morningside, Durban. I am advised that my instructing attorney has been communicating with Mr Mchunu's instructing attorney via email at xolani@zumaandpartners.co.za and Sizophila@zumaandpartners.co.za and telephonically at 071 118 2830.

6. The second respondent is the Minister of Police, cited in his official capacity, care of the State Attorney, Pretoria, at SALU Building, 316 Thabo Sehume Street, Pretoria. The second respondent is cited because in terms of section 207 of the Constitution, the National Commissioner of the police service exercises control over and manages the police in accordance with the policy and directions of the second respondent.
7. The third respondent is the National Commissioner for the South African Police Service, cited in his official capacity, care of the State Attorney, Pretoria at Salu Building, 316 Thabo Sehume Street, Pretoria. The third respondent is cited because in terms of section 207(2) of the Constitution, he must exercise control over and manage the police service.
8. The second and third respondents are cited in this matter because the services of the South African Police Service are required to implement the order that I seek.

STRUCTURE OF THIS AFFIDAVIT

9. This affidavit is structured as follows:
 - 9.1 First, I shall set out the purpose of the application;

MM³ JS

- 9.2 Second, I will deal with the urgency of the application;
- 9.3 Third, I will deal with the relevant facts;
- 9.4 Fourth, I shall deal with the elements of contempt; and
- 9.5 Finally, I will explain the relief sought including submissions on appropriate sentence.

THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION

10. There is an interim interdict in force, restraining Mr Mchunu from repeating prohibited defamatory statements that he originally made about me on 28 April 2025 and 30 April 2025. The interim interdict is to remain in force until part B of the main application is finalised. Part B has not been finalised as yet. The interim interdict, was granted by this Court in the form of a rule nisi issued on 12 May 2026 and which was confirmed on 19 May 2026.
11. The present contempt of court application is the second contempt of court application that I have brought against Mr Ngizwe Mchunu. The first contempt of court application was successfully granted by Judge Labuschagne on Friday 5 June 2026.
12. The purpose of this urgent application is to secure an order of this Honourable Court declaring Mr Ngizwe Mchunu guilty of contempt of court and to get an order for his committal to prison for a period of six months, without the option of a fine. The committal order is warranted in this case because Mr Mchunu

MM⁴ JS

continues to openly defy this Court's Orders, even after this Court issued an Order on 5 June 2026, finding him to be guilty of contempt of court.

13. Mr Mchunu committed a new act of contempt of court on Saturday 6 June 2026 when he was interviewed by SABC news programme, which was anchored by SABC news presenter Ms Chriselda Lewis. Mr Mchunu's interview was conducted live through a video and audio feed. The full interview is published online on YouTube and is accessible at the following link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5YVpdMX16og> Mr Mchunu also published this interview on his X account. I attach a screenshot of the post on YouTube, marked as annexure "JM 1" and a screenshot of Mr Mchunu's post on his X account, marked as annexure "JM 2".
14. During this interview, Mr Mchunu says that he issued the apology to the Court because he was forced to do so . This shows Mr Mchunu's disrespect for this Court's authority. He also says that the apology he made does not mean that he changed his views. Mr Mchunu also repeats the prohibited statements and says at about 4:45 into the interview that the claims he makes in the prohibited defamatory statements are not lies.
15. Mr Mchunu's re-publication of the defamatory statements about me, is prohibited by an interim interdict granted by this Court's Orders issued on 12 May 2026 (by Khumalo J) ("**the first Court Order**") and on 19 May 2026 (by Lukhaimane AJ) ("**the second Court Order**"). I attach marked as "JM 3" a copy of the Court Order issued on 12 May 2026, and as "JM 4", a copy of the Court Order issued on 19 May 2026.

MM 5 JS

16. Mr Mchunu's republication of the prohibited statements on 6 June 2026 is the second time that he wilfully defied the first and second Court Orders. On 5 June 2026, this Court (per Labuschagne J) issued an order finding Mr Mchunu guilty of contempt of court for defying the first and second Court Orders when Mr Mchunu republished the prohibited statements or similar statements. A copy of the Court Order issued on 5 June 2026 is attached marked as "**JM 5**" ("**the third Court Order**").
17. I do not bring this second contempt of court application lightly. However, it is evident that Mr Mchunu is conducting himself as if he is above the law and that he will not obey a Court order. Mr Mchunu remains belligerent and continues to recklessly defy this Court's orders.
18. I am compelled to bring this second contempt of court application, as I am advised that Mr Mchunu's republication of the prohibited defamatory statements gives rise to a new cause of action. It is evident that the third Court Order did not serve as a deterrent as, on the very next day after the Order was issued, Mr Mchunu continued to re-publish the prohibited statements about me. The only competent relief is for this Court to order Mr Mchunu's direct imprisonment for a period of six months, without the option of a fine. Mr Mchunu's conduct is extremely egregious and warrants this Court's extreme sanction.

MM
6
SS

THE CONTEMPT OF COURT ORDER OF 5 JUNE 2026

19. I brought an urgent application to hold Mr Mchunu in contempt of court for republishing defamatory statements about me on 20 and 21 May 2026. My application was also based on Mr Mchunu's failure to respect the decorum of this Court when he referred to this Court's order of 19 May 2026 as being the order of the dogs and he referred to my legal team as being donkeys and dogs. I also sought contempt of court on the basis that during the court hearing on 19 May 2026, Mr Mchunu repeated his defamatory statements about me. My contempt of court application was finally determined on Friday 5 June 2026 before Judge Labuschagne.
20. On the eve of the hearing on 4 June 2026, Mr Mchunu issued an unsolicited statement which Mr Mchunu's attorneys proffered on Mr Mchunu's behalf to serve as a written apology. A copy of this statement is attached marked as annexure "JM 6". Mr Mchunu went further and caused this unsolicited statement to be published on King Zaso's TikTok account and on Sthembiso Mngoma's TikTok account. I attach a copy of the social media posts as annexure "JM 7". This purported apology amounted to no apology at all as it unlawfully re-published the prohibited defamatory statements. When my instructing attorney Ms Charalambous conveyed the apology to me and sought my instructions, I instructed her to proceed with the contempt application as I refused to accept the apology.
21. At the hearing on 5 June 2026, Mr Mchunu was legally represented and his counsel appeared at the hearing. The Honourable Judge Labuschagne took

MM 15

issue with Mr Mchunu's counsel about the terms of the alleged apology and raised serious concerns about Mr Mchunu re-publishing the prohibited statements on social media platforms and presenting that to Court. Judge Labuschagne also reminded Mr Mchunu's counsel that every act of re-publication of the prohibited statements is actionable and gives rise to a new cause of action. Judge Labuschagne also informed Mr Mchunu's counsel that the Court expected Mr Mchunu to show remorse and contrition for his unlawful conduct and it did not appear to the Court that Mr Mchunu was remorseful. My instructing attorney, Ms Charalambous was in Court when the matter was heard on 5 June 2026 and she informed me about this exchange between Judge Labuschagne and Mr Mchunu's legal counsel. Ms Charalambous's confirmatory affidavit is attached marked as annexure "JM 8".

22. After hearing counsel for both parties and having considered the matter, Judge Labuschagne ordered that the contempt application was urgent as envisaged by Rule 6(12). The Court ordered that Mr Mchunu is found guilty of contempt of court in that he disobeyed this Court's Orders issued by Khumalo J on 12 May 2026 and issued by Lukhaimane J on 19 May 2026 in that Mr Mchunu intentionally and unlawfully republished prohibited statements in annexure NOM1 and NOM2 annexed to the 12 May Order, on 20 and 21 May 2026 (Order 3).
23. The Court also ordered that a warrant of arrest is issued against Mr Mchunu for his arrest and detention in prison for a period of 10 days (Order 4). The Court suspended the warrant of arrest and detention on condition that Mr Mchunu

issued an unreserved apology to the Court (Order 5). The apology had to be published on social media accounts on which Mr Mchunu has active for the past six months and uploaded to Caselines. The Court expressly ordered that the apology must not repeat the prohibited statements. Mr Mchunu was ordered to distribute his apology to all media houses with which he conducted interviews and repeated the prohibited defamatory statements about me.

24. The Court ordered that if the warrant is suspended, it shall be suspended for a period of 6 months from the date of the apology on condition that Mr Mchunu does not repeat the prohibited statements during the period of suspension (Order 7).
25. During the evening of Friday 5 June 2026, Mr Mchunu issued a written apology which he claims is in compliance with the third Court Order. Mr Mchunu's claim is incorrect – his written apology falls far short of what the third Court Order required. Mr Mchunu failed to publish his apology through a video interview as the means in which to convey his apology. The video interview is the same medium that he used when he unlawfully re-published the prohibited defamatory statements about me on 20 and 21 May 2026.
26. I am advised that Mr Mchunu's written apology appears on Caselines at 062-6 to 062-7. A copy is attached marked as "**JM 9**". Mr Mchunu also caused his written apology to be published on King Zoso's TikTok, a screenshot is uploaded to Caselines at 062-8 to 062-10, and a copy is attached marked as "**JM 10**".

MM
9 JS

27. The written apology is unsigned. The apology does not comply with third Court Order in that Mr Mchunu has not published his apology to all media houses in which he conducted interviews and repeated the prohibited statements. There is simply no proof that Mr Mchunu has complied with Order 6 of the third Court Order. Instead, based on what appears on Caselines, all that Mr Mchunu did was to cause his written apology to be published on an obscure TikTok account, which is under the control of user who calls himself King Zoso.
28. Mr Mchunu's apology only takes the form of a written apology. This apology is insufficient and fails to comply with the third Court Order. Mr Mchunu does not convey his apology in the same way in which he published the prohibited statements about me on 20 and 21 May 2026. His unlawful re-publication on 20 and 21 May 2026 took place in video interviews which were widely published on social media platforms. Mr Mchunu failed to convey his apology in a video interview which is the same medium that he used to re-publish the prohibited defamatory statements about me. Second and more importantly, he has failed to show that he has complied with Order 6 of the third Court Order.

URGENCY

29. Mr Mchunu unlawfully re-published the prohibited defamatory statements about me on Saturday 6 June 2026. I instructed my attorneys of record to proceed with this application soon after Mr Mchunu's interview on SABC came to my attention on Saturday 6 June 2026. This application has been brought at the earliest opportunity following Mr Mchunu's defiance of this Court's orders.


10
JS

30. The main application and the contempt application were heard on an urgent basis. The judges in all three hearings, granted an Order that the matter was urgent. The reasons why the main application was urgent remain, as the defamatory statements issued by Mr Mchunu on 28 April 2026 and on 30 April 2026 remain published online and the Impugned Statements have been repeated across several social media platforms. Mr Mchunu's continuous defiance of this Court's Orders, which is dealt with below, requires the urgent attention of this Court.
31. Mr Mchunu's reckless conduct poses a threat to public confidence and respect for the authority of the Courts and the rule of law. Mr Mchunu's conduct is wilful and is particularly egregious because he was legally represented at the Court hearing held on Friday 5 June 2026 and yet he still persisted hours later on the morning of Saturday 6 June 2026 to commit a new act of contempt of court.
32. I am seeking an order of direct imprisonment for a period of six months without the option of a fine. Mr Mchunu's defiance of the first, second and third Court Orders is egregious and shows blatant disregard for the authority of this Court and for the relief that I have been granted by this Court.
33. A hearing in due course will not provide substantial redress. Mr Mchunu has demonstrated a propensity to continue defaming me. I have been compelled to come to urgent Court on three previous occasions to secure effective relief to address Mr Mchunu's reckless and contemptuous conduct. By his own conduct and in his words, it is evident that Mr Mchunu is not remorseful, shows

MM
11
JS

no contrition for his unlawful conduct and is intent on disregarding the authority of this Court.

34. Mr Mchunu has been given reasonable opportunity to respond to this application and to file his answering affidavit.
35. I submit that, for all these reasons, the Court should hear the application on an urgent basis.


THE RELEVANT FACTS

36. There are three Court orders issued against Mr Mchunu: an Order issued on 12 May 2026 by Judge Khumalo, an Order issued on 19 May 2026 issued by Judge Lukhaimane, and the third order, issued on Friday 5 June 2026 by Judge Labuschagne. Mr Mchunu has wilfully defied all three orders.
37. On Tuesday 28 April 2026 and on Thursday 30 April 2026, Mr Mchunu made defamatory statements about me in video interviews which were posted widely on social media platforms. Mr Mchunu alleged that I recently got 60 million from Nigerian drug dealers; that I was highly protected by drug dealers and illegal foreigners and that I was willing to lose everything politically to protect Nigerians and illegal foreigners. I do not repeat all the defamatory statements here as I do not wish to unnecessarily burden this affidavit.
38. I launched urgent court proceedings in two parts: under part A I sought a declarator that Mr Mchunu's impugned statements were defamatory of me and I also sought a referral of the matter under part B to determine quantum of

MM
12
JS

damages and for an apology and retraction. The matter came before Judge Khumalo on Tuesday 12 May 2026. Although the application was served on Mr Mchunu via WhatsApp and via two email addresses that Mr Mchunu listed as his contact email, he failed to oppose the application.

39. On 12 May 2026, Judge Khumalo was satisfied that the matter was urgent and ordered that the impugned statements as set out in NOM1 and NOM2 were defamatory of me. The Court also referred the matter for oral evidence or trial under part B to determine the quantum of damages. For Mr Mchunu's benefit, the Court issued a rule nisi with a return date of 19 May 2026 to enable Mr Mchunu to explain why the Orders should not be made final. I refer this Court to the first Court Order attached as "JM 3".
40. On 19 May 2026, the matter came before Judge Lukhaimane. Mr Mchunu appeared in court personally and did not have legal representation. During his oral address to Judge Lukhaimane Mr Mchunu repeated his defamatory statements about me and he referred to me as a criminal. Mr Mchunu also called my legal team dogs and donkeys. After hearing submissions made by my legal counsel and after hearing Mr Mchunu personally, Judge Lukhaimane was satisfied that Mr Mchunu had not filed any opposing papers to say why the order granted by Judge Khumalo should not be made final. Judge Lukhaimane held that the application was urgent and she made an Order confirming the rule nisi issued on 12 May 2026. I refer this Court to the second Court Order marked as "JM 4".


13
JS

41. I am informed that the journalist advised Mr Mchunu to speak to my legal counsel. Mr Mchunu then responded to the journalist and he asked her whether she is telling him to speak to this donkey – Mr Mchunu’s reference to a donkey was directed at my lead counsel, Adv Mfesane Ka-Siboto.
42. I am informed that a court official then requested Mr Mchunu to leave the courtroom so that other matters on the roll could be heard. Mr Mchunu responded by asking the court official *“whose order is this, is this the Court’s order or this donkey’s order”* – the reference here was to the Order just issued by Judge Lukhaimane AJ. The court official informed Mr Mchunu that the draft Order was prepared by my legal team and that Judge Lukhaimane AJ made the draft Order an Order of Court. Mr Mchunu then responded that this Order, being the Court Order of 19 May 2026, is from *“the dogs”*. A copy of this interaction between Mr Mchunu and the journalist and court official has been widely posted on social media. I am advised that one post appears on Facebook and the video showing this exchange between Mr Mchunu and the court official appears at the link [WATCH: Ngizwe Mchunu reacting after the judgment was delivered, asking what the ruling was. #SDN, 19 May 2026 Courtesy video | Swaziland Democratic News | Facebook](#). A screenshot of the Facebook post is attached hereto marked as annexure **“JM 11”**.
43. On 20 May 2026, a day after the 19 May Order was issued, Mr Mchunu was interviewed outside the Somalian Embassy in Pretoria. A copy of the video interview is posted on Facebook and is accessible on the link <https://www.facebook.com/reel/949192004621630> In this video, Mr Mchunu can be seen and heard when he says; *“You must come out the closet that you*

MM 14
JS

are paying Julius Malema so much ..millions so that he can protect you.” This is a repetition of Mr Mchunu’s defamatory statements made on 28 and 30 April 2026 and which conduct is expressly prohibited by the interim interdict granted in paragraph 3 of the order issued on 12 May 2026.

44. On 21 May 2026, Mr Mchunu repeated the defamatory statement that I am protecting illegal immigrants and that I have taken 60 million dollars to protect illegal immigrants. The video is posted on X by @ThisIsColbert and is accessible on the link: <https://x.com/ThisIsColbert/status/205736314125222401>
45. I launched a contempt of court application against Mr Mchunu to address Mr Mchunu’s contempt in re-publishing the prohibited defamatory statements on 20 and 21 May 2026 and to address his abuse of the decorum of this Court and officers of this Court. As I explained above, the contempt application came before Judge Labuschagne who finalised the matter on Friday 5 June 2026. Judge Labuschagne’s order is the third Court Order and I refer this Court to annexure “JM 5”.
46. On Saturday 6 June 2026, Mr Mchunu gave an interview on SABC news. He was interviewed by SABC news reporter, Ms Chriselda Lewis. The full video is posted online on YouTube at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5YVpdMX16og> and Mr Mchunu posted it on his X account. I also refer this Court to annexures “JM 1” and “JM 2” which are screenshots confirming that the interview is published online and is in the public domain.

MM¹⁵JS

47. In the interview, Mr Mchunu says that his defamatory claims about me are not lies. Mr Mchunu's statement is in violation of the interim interdict as he is repeating the prohibited defamatory statements that I associate with drug dealers who are Nigerian and that these drug dealers recently paid me 60 million. It also conveys that these alleged Nigerian drug dealers provide me with "protection" and that I am protecting Nigerians and illegal foreigners. In this interview on 6 June 2026, Mr Mchunu says that his claims are not lies. Mr Mchunu presents this statement as statement and/or conclusion of fact.
48. In the interview, Mr Mchunu says that he apologised because he was forced to. This is in itself contemptuous of the third Court Order issued on 5 June 2026. Mr Mchunu's statement shows that he disregards the authority of the Court Order and that he does not consider himself to be bound by the authority of this Court. What is more telling is that Mr Mchunu is not showing any remorse or contrition.
49. A translation of the interview held on 6 June 2026, as posted on Mr Mchunu's X account, is as follows:

" Ms Lewis: With this warrant that we've heard that has been issued, have you been in contact with your lawyers and see how this would be resolved since you have now apologised?

Mr Ngizwe: The lawyers can fix that because there are people who are trying to volunteer. I don't have a lawyer, I represent myself. As you are speaking to me, I am my own self, I represent myself.

MM¹⁶JS

What is important is that, if that means I must end up in prison, I don't have a problem with that. Because their plan, they have said it, that their plan is for me to get killed in prison. They will collect money from these foreign countries...we will continue marching, fighting for the land of our forefathers and we will fight for it, peacefully, nothing will happen. Even on the 30th not even a glass will be broken. God and our forefathers have risen from the graves they will ensure that everything goes according to the laws of the country. We are not going back, if I would be locked up and dead, my spirit will rise again.

Ms Lewis: In your own understanding, do you think all of this is done in protection of illegal foreigners.

Mr Ngizwe: Indeed it's like that, because he said I was the smallest boy ever. How many people has he sworn at and how many people have sworn at him? Why must he pick on an ant like me? And see that I deserve to be in jail, someone who is nothing, because I am nothing to him. He is rich and famous. When did I become important to him? You must ask yourself that question. Secondly, look at the mess that has happened in this country. He, (Julius Malema) has relations with the outside countries even his party is represented in these outside countries. he is full. now he won't change what he agreed upon with them. This is why he is continuing with his mindset, wanting to instil fear and wanting to install dictatorship in this country. He will never succeed. He must rather kill me, we are here now. We are not going to be defocused by him." (emphasis added).

50. Mr Mchunu's statements in the interview held with SABC news on 6 June 2026 is defamatory of me. Mr Mchunu has repeated the prohibited defamatory statements contained in NOM1 and NOM2 that I am receiving money from foreigners who are drug dealers and that I am protecting illegal foreigners. This amounts to a re-publication of the prohibited defamatory statements originally made by Mr Mchunu on 28 April 2026. Paragraph 3 of the first Court Order contains the interim interdict and this Order expressly prohibits Mr Mchunu from re-publishing the defamatory statements contained in NOM1 and NOM2. Mr Mchunu is acting recklessly and refusing to comply with the terms of this Court's Order. This reckless behaviour and open defiance of this Court's Order warrants direct imprisonment, without the option of a fine.

CONTEMPT OF COURT

51. I am advised that it is well established that an applicant who alleges contempt of court must establish (a) an order was granted against the alleged contemnor; (b) the alleged contemnor was served with the order or had knowledge of it; and (c) the alleged contemnor failed to comply with the order. I am advised that these legal principles are set out by the Supreme Court of Appeal in *Fakie N.O. v CCII Systems (Pty) Ltd* [2006] ZASCA 52; 2006(4) SA 326 SCA ("Fakie").

52. Once these facts are established, wilfulness and mala fides are presumed unless the respondent leads evidence to establish a reasonable doubt. Thus, once the applicant has proved the order, service or notice, and non-compliance,

the respondent bears an evidential burden in relation to wilfulness and mala fides: should the respondent fail to advance evidence that establishes a reasonable doubt as to whether non-compliance was wilful and mala fide, contempt will have been established beyond reasonable doubt.¹

53. Contempt of court is the commission of any act or statement that displays disrespect for the authority of the court or its officers acting in an official capacity. This includes wilful disobedience and resistance to court orders.
54. I am advised that the purpose of contempt proceedings is to obtain an Order that a person who has intentionally disobeyed a court order is guilty of the crime of contempt of court. Once the Court makes a finding that the person is guilty of contempt of court, the Court must impose a penalty that will vindicate the court's honour.
55. In this case, I seek a punitive order, that Mr Mchunu should be imprisoned for a period of six months.
56. By displaying wilful disobedience to this Court's Orders, Mr Mchunu not only undermined the authority of this Court. Mr Mchunu has also openly and defiantly disregarded the authority of this Court and the administration of justice. Mr Mchunu's defiance demands serious censure by this Court and an order of committal is appropriate in the circumstances.

¹ *Fakie NO v CCI Systems (Pty) Ltd* [2006] ZASCA 52; 2006 (4) SA 326 (SCA) paras 41-42, endorsed by the Constitutional Court in *Pheko II* at para 36. See also *Matjhabeng Local Municipality v Eskom Holdings Limited and Others*; *Mkhonto and Others v Compensation Solutions (Pty) Limited* [2017] ZACC 35; 2017 (11) BCLR 1408 (CC); 2018 (1) SA 1 (CC) at para 67 on the applicable standard of proof.


57. Mr Mchunu is legally represented. Despite having knowledge of the Court Orders Mr Mchunu deliberately refuses to obey it. Mr Mchunu has no valid or acceptable reasons for not complying with the orders of this Court.

APPROPRIATE RELIEF

58. This case is about the contempt of this Court's Orders. I seek an order that Mr Mchunu be committed to a term of direct imprisonment of six months. This order is appropriate for the following reasons:

58.1. While Judge Labuschagne granted a warrant of arrest, the Court granted an order providing Mr Mchunu with the opportunity to issue an apology to this Court which, if issued, would suspend the warrant of arrest and detention.


58.2. Mr Mchunu issued a written apology but failed to fully comply with the requirements of issuing the apology. Mr Mchunu failed to make the apology in a video interview and he failed to distribute the apology to all the media houses with which he conducted interviews and repeated the prohibited statements. The written apology is unsigned and has been published only on King Zaso's TikTok account. Mr Mchunu is being mischievous in that it is apparent that when he issued the written apology he only caused it to be published on King Zaso's TikTok account yet when he is unlawfully defaming me by repeating the prohibited statements, he persists by doing so in video interviews. This shows that Mr Mchunu is well aware about the reach and impact of his video interviews and that of an unsigned written apology.



- 58.3. This Court, per Judge Labuschagne has given Mr Mchunu the opportunity to unreservedly apologise to the Court and purge his contempt. While he has issued an apology, I have shown that the apology falls short of what the third Court Order envisages. Mr Mchunu said in his interview on 6 June 2026 that he only issued the apology because he was forced to do so. This shows that Mr Mchunu is not remorseful and has shown a lack of contrition.
- 58.4. Mr Mchunu's second act of contempt of court should receive strict censure and this Court should make an order of direct imprisonment for a period of six months.
59. The punitive costs order is justified by the fact that Mr Mchunu has intentionally and without any valid reasons defied Orders of this Court. Furthermore, Mr Mchunu has again, by his reprehensible conduct, compelled me to approach this Court for the fourth time for urgent relief.
60. I respectfully submit that the I have made out a case for the relief sought in the Notice of Motion. In the circumstances, I pray for the relief set out in the Notice of Motion, including the punitive order of costs, such costs to include the costs of two counsel.




DEPONENT



MM
21
JS

Signed and sworn to before me at Sandton on this 08 day of June 2026, the Deponent having acknowledged in my presence that he knows and understands the contents of this Affidavit, the provisions of Government Gazette R1478 Of 11 July 1980 as amended by Government Gazette R774 of 20 April 1982, concerning the taking of the Oath, having been complied with.



COMMISSIONER OF OATHS



FULL NAMES: Mogedi Mous
CAPACITY: Sergeant
PHYSICAL ADDRESS: 100 Summit Rd
Sandton



22
JS

"JM 1"



MM
JS

"JM 2"



MM

JS

0000-27
26/5/2026-9:20:44 AM
"JM1" "JM3"
"X"
12 May 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA

Case no: 2026-099011

ON THE 12TH MAY 2026
BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE KHUMALO

In the matter between:

JULIUS SELLO MALEMA, MP

and

NGIZWE MCHUNU



Respondent

This order is made an Order of Court by the Judge whose name is reflected herein, duly stamped by the Registrar of the Court and is submitted electronically to the parties/their legal representatives by email. This Order is further updated to the electronic file of this matter one Case Lines by the Judge or his/her Secretary. The date of this Order is deemed to be 12 May 2026.

~~DRAFT ORDER~~ 9

HAVING read the documents filed of record, heard Counsel for the Applicant and having considered the matter:-


IT IS ORDERED:

1. The matter is urgent.
2. The following statements made by the Respondent about the Applicant are unlawful and defamatory ("Impugned Statements"):

2026-05-12 9

MM w/w
JS
JS072-1


- 2.1. statement made on Tuesday 28 April 2026, during an interview with King Zoso which took place outside the Union Buildings in Pretoria, a copy of the transcript with translation is attached hereto marked "NOM 1"; and
- 2.2. statement made on Thursday 30 April 2026 during an interview which took place in Johannesburg, a copy of the transcript with translation is attached hereto marked "NOM 2".
- 3. Pending the final determination of the relief sought in Part B, the Respondent is ordered not to publish, or cause to be published, any further defamatory statements about or otherwise concerning the Applicant in terms similar to that of the Impugned Statements wherein the Respondent directly or indirectly, explicitly, impliedly, or otherwise, repeats or cause to be repeated, the same or similar allegations as those made in the Impugned Statements in any manner whatsoever.
- 4. For purposes of determining part B, the matter is referred to trial or oral evidence, on a date to be arranged with the Registrar of the Court.
- 5. The Respondent is directed to pay the costs of Part A, including the costs of two counsel where so employed, on the scale as between attorney and client.
- 6. The Respondent is called upon to show cause to this Honourable Court on 19 May 2026 at 10h00 or soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, why an order in paragraphs 1-5 above should not be made final.



MM W:W
 JS
 JS 072-2

2026-05-12


BY THE COURT



REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT
GAUTENG
PRETORIA

Counsel for Applicant: Mfesane Ka-Siboto - 082 5601 385
mkasiboto@counsel.co.za
Faathima Mahomed – 083 5388 289
faathima@advmahomed.com

Instructed by: England Slabbert Attorneys Inc.
For Attention: Ms Angelike Charalambous
Tel : 066 477 8755 / 021 0075828
Email: angelike@englandslabberti.co.za
Ref: ECO1/0057



MM
W.W
JS
JS 072-3

2026-05-12

"JM4" "JM2" X 0000-30
0000-4

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA

HL

19/05/2026
Case no: 2026-099011

ON THE 19TH MAY 2026
BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE LUKHAIMANE
IN OPEN COURT

In the matter between:

JULIUS SELLO MALEMA, MP

and

NGIZWE MCHUNU

REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA GAUTENG DIVISION PRETORIA		REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA GAUTENG DIVISION PRETORIA
Private Bag 257, Pretoria 0001		Applicant
	2026 -05- 19	
GD-PRET001-001		Respondent

This order is made an Order of Court by the Judge whose name is reflected herein, duly stamped by the Registrar of the Court and is submitted electronically to the parties/their legal representatives by email. This Order is further updated to the electronic file of this matter one Case Lines by the Judge or his/her Secretary. The date of this Order is deemed to be 19 May 2026.

HL DRAFT ORDER

HAVING read the documents filed of record, heard Counsel for the Applicant and having considered the matter:-

IT IS ORDERED:

- The rule nisi issued on 12 May 2026 attached hereto as annexure "A" is confirmed.

W.L.S
J.S
M.M.P
0000-4
0000-30


fl

2. The Orders issued in paragraphs 1-5 of this Court's order dated 12 May 2026 are made final.

3. The Respondent is ordered to pay the costs of the hearing of 19 May 2026, including the costs of two counsel where so employed, on the scale as between attorney and client.

BY THE COURT

REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT
GAUTENG
PRETORIA



Counsel for Applicant: Mfesane Ka-Siboto - 082 5601 385
mkasiboto@counsel.co.za
 Faathima Mahomed – 083 5388 289
faathima@advmahomed.com

Instructed by: England Slabbert Attorneys Inc.
 For Attention: Ms Angelike Charalambous
 Tel : 066 477 8755 / 021 0075828
 Email: angelike@englandslabbert.co.za
 Ref: ECO10057

MM
w.w

J.S
J.S

"JMP2" 0000-32
"A" 0000-6

Handwritten signature
"X"
12 May 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA

Case no: 2026-099011


ON THE 12TH MAY 2026
BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE KHUMALO

In the matter between:

JULIUS SELLO MALEMA, MP

and

NGIZWE MCHUNU




This order is made an Order of Court by the Judge whose name is reflected herein, duly stamped by the Registrar of the Court and is submitted electronically to the parties/their legal representatives by email. This Order is further updated to the electronic file of this matter one Case Lines by the Judge or his/her Secretary. The date of this Order is deemed to be 12 May 2026.

DRAFT ORDER

HAVING read the documents filed of record, heard Counsel for the Applicant and having considered the matter:-

IT IS ORDERED:

1. The matter is urgent.
2. The following statements made by the Respondent about the Applicant are unlawful and defamatory ("Impugned Statements"):





2026-05-12

Handwritten initials MMW.W
19/05/2026

072-1
888-6
0000-32


- 2.1. statement made on Tuesday 28 April 2026, during an interview with King Zoso which took place outside the Union Buildings in Pretoria, a copy of the transcript with translation is attached hereto marked "NOM 1"; and
- 2.2. statement made on Thursday 30 April 2026 during an interview which took place in Johannesburg, a copy of the transcript with translation is attached hereto marked "NOM 2".
- 3. Pending the final determination of the relief sought in Part B, the Respondent is ordered not to publish, or cause to be published, any further defamatory statements about or otherwise concerning the Applicant in terms similar to that of the Impugned Statements wherein the Respondent directly or indirectly, explicitly, impliedly, or otherwise, repeats or cause to be repeated, the same or similar allegations as those made in the Impugned Statements in any manner whatsoever.
- 4. For purposes of determining part B, the matter is referred to trial or oral evidence, on a date to be arranged with the Registrar of the Court.
- 5. The Respondent is directed to pay the costs of Part A, including the costs of two counsel where so employed, on the scale as between attorney and client.
- 6. The Respondent is called upon to show cause to this Honourable Court on 19 May 2026 at 10h00 or soon thereafter as the matter may be heard why an order in paragraphs 1-5 above should not be made final.



M.M.W.W
J.S.S.S
Malatso

2026-05-12)



BY THE COURT



**REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT
GAUTENG
PRETORIA**

Counsel for Applicant: Mfesane Ka-Siboto - 082 5601 385
mkasiboto@counsel.co.za
Faathima Mahomed - 083 5388 289
faathima@advmahomed.com

Instructed by: England Slabbert Attorneys Inc.
For Attention: Ms Angelike Charalambous
Tel : 066 477 8755 / 021 0075828
Email: angelike@englandslabbert.co.za
Ref: ECO1/0057



MM w/w
JSJS
19/05/2026

2026-05-12

"JM5"

Labuschagne

05/06/2026

X

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA

Case no: 2026-099011

ON 5 JUNE 2026

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE LABUSCHAGNE

In the matter between:

JULIUS SELLO MALEMA, MP

Applicant

and

NGIZWE MCHUNU

First Respondent


MINISTER OF POLICE

Second Respondent

NATIONAL COMMISSIONER OF THE

Third Respondent

SOUTH AFRICAN POLICE SERVICE



This order is made an Order of Court by the Judge whose name is reflected herein, duly stamped by the Registrar of the Court and is submitted electronically to the parties/their legal representatives by email. This Order is further updated to the electronic file of this matter one Case Lines by the Judge or his/her Secretary. The date of this Order is deemed to be 5 June 2026.

~~DRAFT ORDER~~

HAVING read the documents filed of record, heard Counsel for the Applicant and Respondent, and having considered the matter:-

MM

JS

J.X

IT IS ORDERED:

1. The matter is urgent as envisaged in Rule 6(12) of the Uniform Rules of Court.
2. It is recorded that the application was duly served on the Respondent in terms of the order for substituted service granted on 2 June 2026 and the Respondent was represented at the hearing on 5 June 2026.
3. Mr Ngizwe Mchunu is found guilty of contempt of court in that, in disobedience of paragraph 3 of this Court's order per Khumalo J of 12 May 2026 ("Order 1") and paragraph 2 of this Court's order per Lukhaimane AJ of 19 May 2026 ("Order 2"), he intentionally and unlawfully republished prohibited statements in annexures NOM1 and NOM2 annexed to Order 1, or similar statements ("the impugned statements") on 20 May 2026 and 21 May 2026.
4. A warrant of arrest is issued against Mr Mchunu for his arrest and detention in prison for a period of ten (10) days.
5. Only upon a publication of an unreserved apology to the Court on social media platforms on which Mr Mchunu has been active for the last 6 months, uploaded to Caselines, and without repetition of the impugned statements, will the aforesaid warrant of arrest and detention be suspended.
6. Mr Mchunu shall also distribute the apology to all media houses with which he conducted interviews and repeated the prohibited statements, including King Zoso's Tiktok account (@kingzoso_saa).

2026-06-05


CD-1101-210

MM
JS

IX

7. In the event of the warrant of arrest and detention being suspended as aforesaid it shall be suspended for a period of 6 months from the date of the apology on condition that Mr Mchunu does not during the period of suspension, repeat the impugned statements.
8. In the event of non-compliance by Mr Mchunu with the aforesaid, the Applicant may approach the Court for implementation of the warrant of arrest and detention and/or for further appropriate relief.
9. Mr Mchunu is ordered to pay the costs of the contempt of court application including the proceedings on 2 June 2026 including costs of two counsel where so employed, on the attorney and client scale.

BY THE COURT



2026-06-05

REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT
GAUTENG
PRETORIA

Counsel for Applicant: Mfesane Ka-Siboto - 082 5601 385
mkasiboto@counsel.co.za
Faathima Mahomed – 083 5388 289
faathima@advmahomed.com

Instructed by: England Slabbert Attorneys Inc.
For Attention: Ms Angelike Charalambous
Tel : 066 477 8755 / 021 0075828
Email: angelike@englandslabbert.co.za
Ref: ECO1/0057








Counsel for the Respondent: Thabiso Mhlanga – 078 478 5986
Thabiso.mhlanga@pabasa.co.za

Instructed by: Zuma and Partners
For Attention: Mr Xolani Zuma
Tel: 071 118 2830
Email: sizophila@zumaandpartners.co.za



MM

IX

JS

COURT ONLINE COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
Gauteng Division, Pretoria

CASE NO: 2026-099011

In the matter between:

JULIUS SELLO MALEMA

Plaintiff / Applicant / Appellant

and

NGIZWE MCHUNU

Defendant / Respondent

Other - Public Apology

NOTE: This document was filed electronically by the Registrar on 4/6/2026 at 8:57:04 PM South African Standard Time (SAST). The time and date the document was filed by the party is presented on the header of each page of this document.



ELECTRONICALLY SIGNED BY:

Registrar of Pretoria , Gauteng
Division,Pretoria

MM

SS

STATEMENT

1. I have considered and applied my mind on the court order handed down by the Honourable Judge Khumalo on the 12th of May 2026 and the order handed down by the Honourable Judge Lukhaimane on the 19th of May 2026, and having sought legal counsel, I have come to a full appreciation of the implications of the Court order(s) and to that end, I take this opportunity to profusely apologize to Mr. Julius Sello Malema, the President of the Economic Freedom Fighters (*“the EFF”*). I further confirm that I will refrain from publishing and issuing impugned statements in future.

2. Accordingly, I retract the statements referred to in the Court orders mentioned in paragraph 1 above, the said statements are depicted below;



“Because Julius Malema is eating. He recently got 60 million from the Nigerian drug dealers. And now, that's why he's highly protected. And is also willing to lose everything that he has politically in order to protect Nigerians and other illegal immigrants.”

“I won't speak about a dead snake, Julius Malema is like a dead snake something that won't wake up. He must go to jail, he lost an opportunity that he deserved that in our generation he could change how the country is governed but he got drunk with power and he hated the Zulu nation and he then took Money from On Point engineering, he looted VBS and finished it and then went to Ekurhuleni and finished it off now he is eating money of illegal foreigners, he is getting 60 million dollars from illegal foreigners this Julius Malema. I do not care what he does, he is a dead sneak, his time is over. Julius Malema you are a dog, you sold the people of South Africa to illegal foreigners. I am not scared of you my boy, go and pee on yourself where you are.”

MM

JS

"I'm not going to go there, I'm not going there. I am a Zulu man, if he wants me he will find me in Nkandla or he will find me here, I am here and I will send him my location and he must come to me, there's no time to play now. Julius Malema is a political devil. The politicians are scared of him and the president is scared of him, we are not scared of him, he is a dog and we will take the country and fix it. Drugs, alcohol, our children drink day and night, they sell their bodies, it's a shameful thing happening in this country."

- 3. Furthermore, I withdraw all defamatory statements issued after the court order(s) had been handed down.
- 4. I call upon the public and those who support me to accept my statement and decision.



Issued by

Ngizwe Mlando Mchunu

MM
JS

COURT ONLINE COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
Gauteng Division, Pretoria

CASE NO: 2026-099011

In the matter between:

JULIUS SELLO MALEMA

Plaintiff / Applicant / Appellant

and

NGIZWE MCHUNU

Defendant / Respondent

Annexure 02

NOTE: This document was filed electronically by the Registrar on 5/6/2026 at 8:29:37 AM South African Standard Time (SAST). The time and date the document was filed by the party is presented on the header of each page of this document.



ELECTRONICALLY SIGNED BY:

Registrar of Pretoria , Gauteng
Division,Pretoria

MM

SS

< King Zoso_sa 36m •

Ngizwe useyikhiphile incwadi yokuxolisa ku Malema njengba Inkantolo yayi myalele kanjalo ngokuthi wathi uMalema uthengwe abokufika bamnika R60 Million

See translation

1. Thabisa...
2. Akhombisa...



1.2K 610 23

< Sthembiso Mngoma ...

All Reels Photos

Sthembiso Mngoma 23m •

Ngizwe Mchunu usekuhoxisile akusho ngo Julius Malema.

Ngizwe Mchunu uthi uthu masedla amathambo engqondo wabona ukuthi kumele alandele isinqumo senkantolo. Ube esehoxisa akusho ngo Julius Malema ukuthi wanikwa u 60 million ama Nigerian adayisa izidakamizwa.

See translation



840 356 32

MM JS

“JM8”

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA**

Case no: 2026/099011

In the matter between:

JULIUS SELLO MALEMA, MP

Applicant

and

NGIZWE MCHUNU

First Respondent

MINISTER OF POLICE

Second Respondent

**NATIONAL COMMISSIONER OF THE
SOUTH AFRICAN POLICE SERVICE**

Third Respondent

CONFIRMATORY AFFIDAVIT

I, the undersigned

ANGELIKE CHARALAMBOUS


do hereby make oath and state that:



1. I am a female attorney, and a director of England Slabbert Attorneys situated at Block B, 144 Katherine Street, Atholl, Johannesburg and I act for the Applicant in the above application.


2. The facts to which I attest herein are within my personal knowledge unless otherwise indicated and are to the best of my knowledge both true and correct.

3. I have read the founding affidavit of **JULIUS SELLO MALEMA, MP** filed in this second contempt of court application and confirm the contents thereof in so far as it relates to me. I also confirm that I was present at Court during the hearing held on Tuesday 19 May 2026, Tuesday 2 June and Friday, 5 June 2026.



DEPONENT

Signed and sworn to before me at Sandton on this 8th day of June 2026, the Deponent having acknowledged in my presence that she knows and understands the contents of this Affidavit, the provisions of Government Gazette R1478 Of 11 July 1980 as amended by Government Gazette R774 of 20 April 1982, concerning the taking of the Oath, having been complied with.



COMMISSIONER OF OATHS
NATASHA JACQUELINE PETRIDES
 Ex Officio Commissioner of Oaths (RSA)
 Non-Practising Attorney (RSA)
 Merchantec Capital, 68 Melville Road, Illovo
 Sandton, 2196

FULL NAMES:
 CAPACITY:
 PHYSICAL ADDRESS:

COURT ONLINE COVER PAGE

"JM9"

062-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
Gauteng Division, Pretoria

CASE NO: 2026-099011

In the matter between:

JULIUS SELLO MALEMA

Plaintiff / Applicant / Appellant

and

NGIZWE MCHUNU

Defendant / Respondent

Statement

NOTE: This document was filed electronically by the Registrar on 5/6/2026 at 8:50:46 PM South African Standard Time (SAST). The time and date the document was filed by the party is presented on the header of each page of this document.




ELECTRONICALLY SIGNED BY:

Registrar of Pretoria , Gauteng
Division,Pretoria

JS
MM

062-6

THE PUBLIC APOLOGY TO THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA, GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA, IN COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 5 AND 6 OF THE COURT ORDER.

1. I, Ngizwe Mlando Mchunu, the Respondent in the matter under case number: 2026-099011, an Application brought by Juluis Sello Malema before this Honourable Court, hereby profusely, unreservedly and/or unconditionally tender my apology to this Honourable Court for my conduct that resulted in the Court Application and my conduct post the handing down of the court order(s) of the 12th and the 19th of May 2026.
2. I have carefully considered the ramifications of my conduct, in particular, taking into account the influence I command in the Republic of South Africa, it is my duty as a leader to always exhibit an exemplary conduct, such conduct shall include respecting the decisions of this Honourable Court. 
3. I have consulted with my Attorneys, who have briefed me extensively regarding the order handed down by this Honourable Court, today, the 05th June 2026. It is common cause that this Honourable Court has found me guilty of being in contempt of Court, for my failure to comply with paragraph 3 of the order granted by his Lordship Khumalo J and his Lordship Lukhaimane J respectively.
4. I am mindful of the fact that such Court orders are binding and must be obeyed by all persons to whom they apply and further acknowledge that compliance with a Court order is fundamental to the administration of justice.
5. I am remorseful for my contemptuous conduct and I further confirm that in keeping with the Court order handed down by this Honourable Court, today, the 05th of June 2026, I will refrain from issuing any disparaging statements against the Applicant and I will not display any disdain towards this Honourable Court.

Issued by

Ngizwe Mlando Mchunu

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
Gauteng Division, Pretoria

CASE NO: 2026-099011

In the matter between:

JULIUS SELLO MALEMA

Plaintiff / Applicant / Appellant


and

NGIZWE MCHUNU

Defendant / Respondent

Statement


NOTE: This document was filed electronically by the Registrar on 5/6/2026 at 9:37:23 PM South African Standard Time (SAST). The time and date the document was filed by the party is presented on the header of each page of this document.



ELECTRONICALLY SIGNED BY:

Registrar of Pretoria , Gauteng
Division,Pretoria

MM JS
062-8



Handwritten signature or initials, possibly "JS MM".



King Zoso_sa

40m •



Ngizwe uyaxolisa kwi Nkantolo ngoba imthole enecala lokuthi wayidelela ngokungezi ecaleni alivulelwa Malema

The Pretoria High Court earlier today found Ngizwe Mchunu guilty of Contempt of Court and ordered him to apologise to the Court on Social media and upload the apology on caseline, a system used to upload documents in Court by Attorneys.

The apology will suspend the sentence of 10 days in prison for 6 months provided he does not commit breach the Court order.

Ngizwe is now complying with paragraph 5 and 6 of the Court order



THE PUBLIC APOLOGY TO THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA, GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA, IN COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPHS 5 AND 6 OF THE COURT ORDER.

- 1. I, Ngizwe Mchunu, the Respondent in the matter, under case number: 2025/092011, an Application brought by Judge Siso Malema before the Honourable Court, hereby apologise unreservedly and unconditionally to the Honourable Court for my conduct that resulted in the Court Reschedule and in contempt of the handling staff of the court on the 12th and the 13th of May 2025.
- 2. I have earnestly considered the implications of my conduct, in particular, taking into account the judgment pronounced by the Honourable Court on my duty as a subject of the State, namely to comply with paragraph 3 of the order granted by the Honourable Court and the Contempt of Court Act 1973.
- 3. I have consulted with my attorneys, who have advised me regarding the order granted by the Honourable Court, namely, the 05th June 2025. It is common cause that the Honourable Court has found me guilty of being in contempt of Court, for my failure to comply with paragraph 3 of the order granted by the Honourable Court and the Contempt of Court Act 1973.
- 4. I am mindful of the fact that both Court Orders are binding and must be complied with and I am hereby applying and further acknowledging that I will comply with the Court order in full and in good faith.
- 5. I am responsible for my conduct and I further declare that I am complying with the Court order and I will comply with the Court order on the 05th of June 2025. I will ensure that I comply with the Court order and I will ensure that I will comply with the Court order.

MM
JS

"JM 11"

facebook



Er


Video

Home

Live

Reels

Explore



WATCH: Ngizwe Mchunu reacting after the judgment was delivered, asking what the ruling was. #SDN, 19 May 2026 Courtesy video

...

MM
JS